

Recursive Types

Programming Languages Reading Group, Indiana University

Kartik Sabharwal

2022-02-01

Types as Sets of Values

Additions after initial presentation are colored teal.

$$\llbracket \text{Integer} \rrbracket = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$\llbracket A \cup B \rrbracket = \llbracket A \rrbracket \cup \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket A \cap B \rrbracket = \llbracket A \rrbracket \cap \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket A \times B \rrbracket = \llbracket A \rrbracket \times \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket A + B \rrbracket = \{\text{inj}_1(a) \mid a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket\} \cup \{\text{inj}_2(b) \mid b \in \llbracket B \rrbracket\}$$

$$\llbracket A \rightarrow B \rrbracket = \llbracket B \rrbracket^{\llbracket A \rrbracket} \text{ (the set of functions from } A \text{ to } B)$$

(The last definition is good for building intuition but we need to be careful that our model doesn't raise a cardinality problem)

Trivial. If X and Y are finite sets,

$$|X \times Y| = |X| \times |Y|, \quad |X + Y| = |X| + |Y|, \quad |Y^X| = |Y|^{|X|}$$

Types as Sets of Values – Examples

```
#lang typed/racket/base
```

```
(struct (S) inj1 ([s : S]))
```

```
(struct (T) inj2 ([t : T]))
```

```
(define-type (Sum S T) (U (inj1 S) (inj2 T)))
```

```
(define-type A (U False 1 2))
```

```
(define-type B Boolean)
```

```
(define ex1 : (U A B) 2)
```

```
(define ex2 : (Intersection A B) #f)
```

```
(define ex3 : (Pair A B) (cons 1 #t))
```

```
(define ex4 : (Sum A B) (inj1 (ann 2 A)))
```

```
(define ex5 : (-> A B) (lambda ([a : A]) (if a #t a)))
```


Recursive Types – Motivation 2

```
(struct Leaf ())
(struct (T) Node ([left : T]
                 [value : Integer]
                 [right : T]))
(define-type Tree (U Leaf (Node Tree)))

(define ex9 : Tree (Node (Leaf) 1 (Leaf)))
(define ex10 : Tree (Node ex9 0 ex9))
;; * * * * *
(define-type IntFun (U Integer (-> Integer IntFun)))

(define ex11 : IntFun
  (lambda ([x : Integer])
    (if (> x 0) x ex11)))
```

Recursive Types – Motivation 3

```
(struct Lit ([b : Boolean]))  
(struct Var ([s : Symbol]))  
(struct (BE) And ([beL : BE] [beR : BE]))  
(struct (BE) Or ([beL : BE] [beR : BE]))  
(struct (BE) Not ([be : BE]))  
(define-type BoolExp  
  (U Lit Var (And BoolExp) (Or BoolExp) (Not BoolExp)))  
  
(define ex12 : BoolExp (Not (And (Lit #t) (Var 'x))))
```

We ought to be able to visualize these types as sets when we want to prove properties about them.

Recursive Type \rightarrow Generating Function

We defined a recursive type intended to represent the natural numbers via an equation.

$$\text{Natural} = \text{Null} + \text{Natural}$$

We can confine the “unknown” in the equation to the left-hand side using a μ variable binder.

$$\text{Natural} = \mu X. \text{Null} + X$$

TAPL defines $\llbracket \text{Natural} \rrbracket$ as the “greatest fixed-point” of the following function on sets of values. We can call it the “generating function” for Natural.

$$F(X) = \{\text{inj1}(\text{null})\} \cup \{\text{inj2}(x) \mid x \in X\}$$

Fixed-Point

A “fixed-point” of a function is a value that doesn’t change when we apply the function to it.

Any set X such that $F(X) = X$ is a fixed-point of F

If F has two fixed-points X_1 and X_2 , $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \implies X_1 \leq X_2$.

Least Fixed Point

Kleene's Fixed-Point Theorem shows us how to compute the least fixed-point of F – repeatedly apply F to \emptyset and perform a union over all elements in this sequence.

$$F^1(\emptyset) = \{\text{inj1}(\text{null})\}$$

$$F^2(\emptyset) = \{\text{inj1}(\text{null}), \text{inj2}(\text{inj1}(\text{null}))\}$$

...

$$F^n(\emptyset) = \{\text{inj1}(\text{null}), \dots, \text{inj2}^{(n-1)}(\text{inj1}(\text{null}))\}$$

Applying F to the set $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F^i(\emptyset)$ doesn't add or remove any elements from it. We have our least fixed-point.

The least fixed-point is already an infinite set! How are we going to construct a larger fixed-point?

Greatest Fixed Point

Let $\llbracket \text{Any} \rrbracket$ be the set of all values in our language.

To crack a guess at what the greatest fixed point is let's iteratively apply F to $\llbracket \text{Any} \rrbracket$ and see which values are eventually killed off.

Observe that any value in the LFP will survive intact. We don't need to worry about those.

I think it's fair to say that any value of the form $\text{inj}2^n(x)$, where $n \geq 0$, n is as large as possible and x is not $\text{inj}1(\text{null})$, won't be in $F^{(n+1)}(\llbracket \text{Any} \rrbracket)$

Suppose, for a moment, that we're allowed to have "infinite" values, like $\text{inj}2 \dots$. This value is special because $\text{inj}2(\text{inj}2^\infty) = \text{inj}2^\infty$

$\text{LFP} \cup \{\text{inj}2^\infty\}$ is the greatest fixed-point of F

Lazy vs Strict – 1

Infinite values aren't really a stretch when we're talking about programming languages. All we need is the ability to evaluate code lazily. Here's $\text{inj}2^\infty$ in Haskell, where it's recognized as a Natural. (Given this, the type should more accurately be called "Conatural")

```
module RecursiveTypes where
```

```
data Natural = Inj1 () | Inj2 Natural
```

```
fix :: (t -> t) -> t
```

```
fix f = f (fix f)
```

```
inj2_infty :: Natural
```

```
inj2_infty = fix Inj2
```

Lazy vs Strict – 2

Typed Racket uses strict semantics so we can't pull the same trick twice. Even though the recursive type `Natural` theoretically admits infinite values, we can't actually create one.

We're aware that we can delay evaluation using thunks. Let's define a new type that supports delayed evaluation.

```
(define-type Conatural (-> Null (Sum Null Conatural)))
```

Note that the generating function for this recursive type is non-trivially different from that of `Natural`, but I'm certain the information content is the same as Haskell's `Natural` type. We can now represent infinity.

```
(define inj2_infty : Conatural  
  (lambda ([_ : Null]) (inj2 inj2_infty)))
```

References

Chapters 20 and 21 of “Types and Programming Languages” by Benjamin C. Pierce

[A Note on Recursive Types and Fixed Points](#) by Aaron Stump

[Cornell CS 4110 – Denotational Semantics Examples](#)

[Recommended during talk: Calculating Functional Programs](#) by Jeremy Gibbons